

Sylow theorems for ∞ -groups

Matan Prasma Tomer M. Schlank

February 16, 2016

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Organization and statement of results	3
2	Preliminaries	3
2.1	The category Gp_∞	3
3	The theory of k-invariants revisited	5
4	Sylow theorems for ∞-groups	10
5	Applications	16
5.1	Burnside’s fixed point lemma for p - ∞ -groups	16
5.2	π -finite nilpotent ∞ -groups	17
6	References	19

Abstract

Viewing Kan complexes as ∞ -groupoids implies that pointed and connected Kan complexes are to be viewed as ∞ -groups. A fundamental question is then: to what extent can one “do group theory” with these objects? In this paper we develop a notion of a **finite ∞ -group**: an ∞ -group with finitely many non-trivial homotopy groups which are all finite. We prove a homotopical analog of the Sylow theorems for finite ∞ -groups. We derive two corollaries: the first is a homotopical analog of the Burnside’s fixed point lemma for p -groups and the second is a “group-theoretic” characterization of (finite) nilpotent spaces.

1 Introduction

One of the earliest insights of homotopy theory was that through the construction of a classifying space, one can view group theory as the connected components of the theory of pointed connected spaces with one homotopical dimension. In his work [Wh], Whitehead extended this insight by describing pointed connected spaces with two homotopical dimensions by means of 2-groups (in his

formulation: crossed modules). This result was further extended by Loday [Lod] to spaces of an arbitrary (but finite) homotopical dimension.

Relying on the classifying space construction, early work of Stasheff, and of Boardman and Vogt [St, BV] demonstrated that when one weakens the unit, inverse and associativity axioms of a topological group by requiring these to hold only up to coherent homotopy, one gets precisely the notion of a loop space.

A more categorical perspective by Joyal [Joy] further clarified the picture: viewing spaces (throughout: Kan complexes) as ∞ -groupoids implies that pointed connected spaces are to be viewed as ∞ -groups.

Indeed, when one loops down a pointed connected space, multiplication incarnates into concatenation of loops and higher associativity may be pictured as straightening paths, paths between paths and so on. An appropriate relative category (and thus an ∞ -category) of “spaces equivalent to a loop space” may be defined and its associated ∞ -category is easily seen to be equivalent to the ∞ -category of pointed connected spaces.

To what extent can we “do group theory” with ∞ -groups? One place to start is finite group theory, and this requires a suitable notion of a “finite” ∞ -group. A natural (and prevalent) choice is to take this to mean a pointed connected space which is π -finite, i.e. has finitely many non-trivial homotopy groups which are all finite. Such a space is called a **p - ∞ -group** if all its homotopy groups are p -groups. The purpose of this paper is to show that π -finite pointed connected spaces admit Sylow theorems, analogous to the classical ones, and to demonstrate how such theorems can be useful for homotopy-theoretic purposes.

To be more precise, we define:

Definition 1.1. Let p be a prime. A map $f : \mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ of π -finite ∞ -groups, is called **p -Sylow map** if for all $n \geq 1$, the map

$$\pi_n(f) : \pi_n(\mathbb{P}) \longrightarrow \pi_n(\mathbb{G})$$

is an inclusion of a p -Sylow subgroup.

Our main theorem will take the following form:

Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a π -finite ∞ -group and let p be a prime.

1. The ∞ -groupoid $\mathbb{P}_p$ of p -Sylow maps $\mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is equivalent to the **set** of p -Sylow subgroups of $\pi_1(\mathbb{G})$. In particular, $\mathbb{P}_p \neq \emptyset$ and $|\pi_0 \mathbb{P}_p| \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.
2. For every π -finite p - ∞ -group $\mathbb{H}$, any map $\mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{G} \\ & \searrow \quad \nearrow & \\ & \mathbb{P} & \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is a p -Sylow map.

3. Every two p -Sylow maps are conjugate .

The notions appearing in the theorem above will be explained in § 4.

1.1 Organization and statement of results

After some preliminary discussion on the category of ∞ -groups, we revisit the theory of k -invariants in section 3. The main result, Theorem 3.12 asserts that a suitably defined ∞ -category of “ n^{th} -level k -invariants” is equivalent to the ∞ -category of $(n+1)$ -truncated spaces. In section 4 we present our analog of the Sylow theorems for ∞ -groups which appears in the form of Theorem 4.9. Lastly, in section 5 we present two elementary applications of the Sylow theorems. The first is an analog of the Burnside’s fixed-point lemma, which states that if a p - ∞ -group coherently acts on a prime-to- p space, then the action admits a homotopy fixed point. The second application gives a characterization of (pointed, connected) π -finite nilpotent spaces that is analogous to the characterization of finite nilpotent groups.

Acknowledgements This work takes a path envisioned by Emmanuel Farjoun and as such carries much of his spirit. We are very thankful for his continuous encouragement and suggestions. The first author was supported by the NWO grant 62001604.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout, a **space** will always mean a Kan complex and we denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the category of spaces. By default, all the usual constructions in simplicial sets will be adjusted to result in $\mathcal{S}$ via the Kan replacement functor Ex^∞ .

An **∞ -category** will mean a quasi-category in the sense of [Joy] and [Lur09] we denote the ∞ -category of ∞ -categories by Cat_∞ . A **relative category** is a pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{C})$ of a category $\mathcal{C}$ together with a subcategory $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ called weak equivalences which contains all isomorphisms. If $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{C})$ and $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{C}'})$ are two relative categories, a **relative functor** from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}'$ is a functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ which takes $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{C}$ into $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{C}'}$. If $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}_\mathcal{C})$ is a relative category, then applying the nerve $(N(\mathcal{C}), N(\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{C}))$ gives a marked simplicial set. The fibrant replacement of $(N(\mathcal{C}), N(\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{C}))$ in the Cartesian model structure on marked simplicial sets [Lur09, §3.1] will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}\{\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{C}^{-1}\}$ or just $\mathcal{C}\{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\}$ when the class $\mathcal{W}$ is clear from the context. A map $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ of relative categories is called an **equivalence** if $\mathcal{C}\{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'\{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\}$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories. We denote by RelCat the (large) relative category of relative categories.

A **weak inverse** to a map of relative categories $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ is a map of relative categories $G : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ together with a zig-zag of natural transformations $\text{id} \not\Rightarrow F \circ G$ and $\text{id} \not\Rightarrow G \circ F$ which are (component-wise) weak equivalences. Note that if F admits a weak inverse, then it is an equivalence of relative categories.

2.1 The category Gp_∞

The relative category $\mathcal{S}$ of Kan complexes is a standard model for the homotopy theory of ∞ -groupoids. Similarly, we make the following

Definition 2.1. An ∞ -group is a pointed connected space and an ∞ -group map is a map of pointed connected spaces. We denote by $\mathbf{Gp}_\infty := \mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1}$ the category of ∞ -groups and the resulting relative category by $(\mathbf{Gp}_\infty, \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{Gp}_\infty})$.

Our terminology is meant to suggest that an ∞ -group is a higher categorical version of the notion of a group. However, it is also common in algebraic topology to view a loop space as a “group up to (higher) homotopy”. Although the equivalence between the homotopy theories of pointed connected spaces and of loop spaces is well-known in folklore, we could not find a precise statement in the literature. In what follows, we give a short account on the matter.

Definition 2.2.

1. A **loop space** is a triple $(X, \mathcal{B}X, \mu)$ where X is a space, $\mathcal{B}X$ is a pointed connected space and $\mu : X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega \mathcal{B}X$ is a homotopy equivalence.
2. A **loop space map** from $(X, \mathcal{B}X, \mu)$ to $(Y, \mathcal{B}Y, \nu)$ is a pair consisting of a pointed map $\overline{\varphi} : \mathcal{B}X \rightarrow \mathcal{B}Y$ and a map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ rendering the following square commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \\ \mu \downarrow \simeq & & \simeq \downarrow \nu \\ \Omega \mathcal{B}X & \xrightarrow{\Omega \overline{\varphi}} & \Omega \mathcal{B}Y. \end{array} \quad (1)$$

3. A loop space map is called an **equivalence** if φ and $\overline{\varphi}$ are homotopy equivalences. We denote the **relative category** of loop spaces by $(\Omega_S, \mathcal{W}_\Omega)$.

Let $\mathcal{B} : \Omega_S \rightarrow \mathbf{Gp}_\infty$ be the relative functor given by $\mathcal{B}(X, \mathcal{B}X, \mu) = \mathcal{B}X$ and let $\Omega : \mathbf{Gp}_\infty \rightarrow \Omega_S$ be the relative functor given by $\Omega(A) := (\Omega A, A, \text{id}_{\Omega A})$. We have an adjunction of relative categories

$$\Omega : \mathbf{Gp}_\infty \xrightleftharpoons[\perp]{} \Omega_S : \mathcal{B}$$

whose unit and counit transformations are homotopy equivalences in each of their components. We thus obtain

Corollary 2.3. *the adjunction $\Omega \dashv \mathcal{B}$ induces an equivalence between the associated ∞ -categories $\mathbf{Gp}_\infty \{ \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{Gp}_\infty}^{-1} \} \simeq \Omega_S \{ \mathcal{W}_{\Omega_S}^{-1} \}$.*

Remark 2.4. By means of the Kan loop group, any ∞ -group can be replaced by a simplicial group. This may be viewed as a rigidification procedure since the homotopy theory of simplicial groups is equivalent to that of pointed connected spaces. However, the existence of a rigidification is **model dependant**: if we were to work in a relative category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$, equivalent to $\mathcal{S}$ there would be no reason that the induced homotopy theory of group objects in $\mathcal{C}$ will be equivalent to $\mathbf{Gp}_\infty$. For example if $(\text{Cat}, \mathcal{W}_{\text{Thom}})$ is the relative category of categories and Thomason equivalences, then group objects in $\mathcal{C} = \text{Cat}$ are crossed modules, and their associated homotopy theory is known to model only pointed connected 2-types.

3 The theory of k -invariants revisited

Our proof of the Sylow theorems for ∞ -groups will require a strengthening of the classical theory of k -invariants to which we devote this section. Informally speaking, we wish to show that the ∞ -category of pointed connected $(n+1)$ -truncated spaces is equivalent to that of triples (X, A, κ) where X is a pointed connected n -truncated space, A a $\pi_1 X$ -module and κ is a map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\quad \kappa \quad} & K(A, n+2)/\pi_1(X) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & B\pi_1 X & \end{array}$$

Although this result is known in folklore, we could not find a proof of it in the literature. We chose to give the details here as a service to the community.

Let us briefly describe how the above-mentioned equivalence will be established. First, we will prove that the two ∞ -categories at hand admit Cartesian fibrations (see [Lur09, 2.4.2.1]) to the ∞ -category of (pointed connected) n -truncated spaces. We will then construct a map of Cartesian fibrations between them and prove that the induced map on fibers is a map of coCartesian fibrations over the category $\mathbf{GpMod}$ of abelian groups with an action of an arbitrary group. Lastly, we will use the classical results of [DK84] to show that the latter map induces an equivalence on each fiber.

The verification that a map of ∞ -categories is a (co)Cartesian fibration is usually an involved task. However, a result of Hinich ([Hin, Proposition 2.1.4]) gives simple conditions on a map of **relative categories** which assure that the induced map on ∞ -categories is a (co)Cartesian fibration. In order to use this method we will need to “rectify” certain diagrams of ∞ -categories to diagrams of relative categories. This is the reason for the various technical conditions that appear throughout this section.

We first set up the relative category version of the Grothendieck construction. Recall that if $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ is a functor, the Grothendieck construction $\int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{F}$ is the category where an object is a pair (A, X) with $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and $X \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ and a morphism $(A, X) \rightarrow (B, Y)$ consists of a pair (f, φ) where $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a map in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\varphi : f_! X := \mathcal{F}(f)(X) \rightarrow Y$ is a map in $\mathcal{F}(B)$.

Definition 3.1. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{C}})$ be a relative category and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{RelCat}$ a relative functor. The Grothendieck construction of $\mathcal{F}$ is the relative category $(\int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}})$ where a map $(f, \varphi) : (A, X) \rightarrow (B, Y)$ is in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}}$ if $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{C}}$ (and thus φ is an equivalence of relative categories).

Let $\mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1, \leq n}$ be the relative category of pointed and connected n -truncated spaces (throughout, we assume $n \geq 1$). For a space $X \in \mathcal{S}$ we denote by $X[n] := \mathrm{cosk}_{n+1} X$ its $(n+1)$ -coskeleton, which is a model for the n^{th} -Postnikov section of X . If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a map of spaces we denote by $f[n] : X[n] \rightarrow Y[n]$ the corresponding map on Postnikov sections.

Recall that a space X is called **n -coskeletal** if $X \cong \text{cosk}_n X$. A space $X \in \mathcal{S}$ is called **reduced** if $X_0 = *$. To every space $X \in \mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1}$ we denote by X_{red} the reduced space obtained by collapsing the set X_0 and its images under the various degeneracies s_i to a single simplex in each degree. We denote by $\tau_n \mathcal{S}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1, \leq n}$ spanned by reduced $(n+1)$ -coskeletal spaces with its induced relative category structure.

Observation 3.2. The inclusion $\tau_n \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1, \leq n}$ induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories $\tau_n \mathcal{S} \{W^{-1}\} \simeq \mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1, \leq n} \{W^{-1}\}$.

Fix a space $X \in \tau_n \mathcal{S}$. We denote by $G := \pi_1(X)$ and by BG the simplicial set given by the nerve of G . Note that there is a canonical fibration $X \twoheadrightarrow BG$. For a G -module A , we choose a (functorial) model for $K(A, n+2)//G$ as a Kan fibration over BG (see e.g. [DK84]). Thus, both X and $K(A, n+2)//G$ are objects in the category $(\mathcal{S}_{/BG})^{\text{fib}}$ of fibrations over BG .

Definition 3.3. Let $X \in \tau_n \mathcal{S}$ and let A be a G -module. The relative category $\mathcal{K}_n^X(A)$ has as objects the diagrams

$$X \xleftarrow{\simeq} Y \longrightarrow K(A, n+2)//G$$

in $(\mathcal{S}_{/BG})^{\text{fib}}$ with $Y \in \tau_n \mathcal{S}$. A morphism in $\mathcal{K}_n^X(A)$ is a diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Y & & \\ & \nearrow \simeq & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ X & & & & K(A, n+2)//G \\ & \nwarrow \simeq & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ & & Z & & \end{array}$$

We take all maps in $\mathcal{K}_n^X(A)$ to be weak equivalences.

Since $(\mathcal{S}_{/BG})^{\text{fib}}$ is a category of fibrant objects, it admits a calculus of right fractions ([Low]). It follows that the relative category $\mathcal{K}_n^X(A)$ models the corresponding mapping space:

Proposition 3.4 ([DK80]). *There is an equivalence of ∞ -groupoids*

$$\mathcal{K}_n^X(A) \{W^{-1}\} \simeq \text{Map}_{/BG}(X, K(A, n+2)//G).$$

A map of G -modules $A \longrightarrow B$ gives rise to a map

$$K(A, n+2)//G \longrightarrow K(B, n+2)//G$$

(over BG) and thus the construction of $\mathcal{K}_n^X(A)$ extends to a functor

$$\mathcal{K}_n^X : G\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \text{RelCat}.$$

Definition 3.5. The relative category of **n^{th} -level k -invariants of $\mathbf{X}$** is the Grothendieck construction $\mathcal{K}_n(\mathbf{X}) := \int_{A \in G\text{-Mod}} \mathcal{K}_n^X(A)$.

For a space $X \in \tau_n \mathcal{S}$ and a G -module A as above, we also define:

Definition 3.6. The category $\mathcal{F}^X(A)$ has as objects the triples (X', η, α) where $X' \in \tau_{n+1} \mathcal{S}$, $\eta : X' \twoheadrightarrow X$ a fibration, $\alpha : \pi_{n+1} X' \cong A$ is an isomorphism of G -modules and such that the fibration

$$X'[n] \xrightarrow{\sim} X[n] \cong X$$

is a weak equivalence. A morphism is a commutative triangle of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X'' \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & X & \end{array}$$

suitably compatible with α .

Remark 3.7. Note that the map $X'[n] \rightarrow X[n] \cong X$ is automatically a fibration since cosk_{n+1} preserves Kan fibrations between Kan complexes if the codomain is n -truncated.

Recall from [DK84] that for any $X' \in \tau_{n+1} \mathcal{S}$ with $G = \pi_1(X')$ and $A = \pi_{n+1} X'$ we have a canonical homotopy Cartesian square (over BG)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X'[n] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow k_{X'} \\ BG & \longrightarrow & K(A, n+2)//G. \end{array} \quad (2)$$

Definition 3.8. We call the square 2 the **n^{th} -level canonical square** of X' .

Definition 3.6 extends to a functor

$$\mathcal{F}^X : G\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \text{RelCat}$$

as follows. If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a map of G -modules, and $X' \twoheadrightarrow X$ is an object of $\mathcal{F}^X(A)$, we first extend the n^{th} -level canonical square of X' to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X'[n] & \xlongequal{\quad} & X'[n] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B\pi_1(X') & \longrightarrow & K(\pi_{n+1}(X'), n+2)//G & \longrightarrow & K(A, n+2)//G \\ \downarrow \simeq & & & & \downarrow \\ \overline{B\pi_1(X')} & \longrightarrow & & \twoheadrightarrow & K(B, n+2)//G, \end{array}$$

where we replaced the map $B\pi_1(X') \rightarrow K(B, n+2)//G$ by a fibration.

We then consider the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y'_0 & \longrightarrow & X'[n] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{B\pi_1(X')} & \longrightarrow & K(B, n+2)//G, \end{array}$$

and define the space $f_!(X') = Y'$ to be $Y'_0[n+1]_{\text{red}}$ with the evident fibration

$$Y' \twoheadrightarrow X'[n] \rightarrow X$$

(note that X_{red} is a retract of X so that $(-)_{\text{red}}$ preserves fibrations). Clearly, $\pi_{n+1}Y' \cong B$ and $Y'[n] \twoheadrightarrow X$ is a trivial fibration so that $Y' \twoheadrightarrow X$ is an object in $\mathcal{F}^X(B)$. This extends to a relative functor

$$\mathcal{F}^X(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^X(B).$$

Definition 3.9. The relative category of **$(n+1)$ -Postnikov extensions** of X is the Grothendieck construction $\mathcal{F}(X) := \int_A \mathcal{F}^X(A)$.

We define a relative functor $\mathcal{K}_n^X(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^X(A)$ by first replacing the map $BG \longrightarrow K(A, n+2)//G$ by a fibration. For an object

$$X \xleftarrow{\sim} Y \longrightarrow K(A, n+2)//G$$

we form the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y'_0 & \longrightarrow & \overline{BG} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & K(A, n+2)//G, \end{array}$$

with the evident map $Y'_0 \twoheadrightarrow X$. The image of the object in $\mathcal{F}^X(A)$ is then the resulting map $Y' := Y'_0[n+1]_{\text{red}} \twoheadrightarrow X$.

Note that the n^{th} -level canonical pullback defines a relative functor $\mathcal{F}^X(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_n^X(A)$ which is a weak inverse to $\mathcal{K}_n^X(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^X(A)$. These functors are thus equivalences of relative categories.

Proposition 3.10. *The induced map of ∞ -categories*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}_n(X)\{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(X)\{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{GpMod} & \end{array}$$

is a map of coCartesian fibrations.

Proof. It is straightforward to check that the map $\mathcal{K}_n^X(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^X(A)$ induces a map of marked coCartesian fibrations in the sense of [Hin]. The conclusion follows from [Hin, Proposition 2.1.4]. $\square$

We now wish to exhibit the map $\mathcal{K}_n(X) \{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) \{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\}$ as an induced map on fibers of *Cartesian* fibrations over $\mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1, \leq n} \{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\}$. Let us start by defining the functor

$$\mathcal{K}_n : (\tau_n \mathcal{S})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{RelCat}.$$

If $X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ is a map in $\tau_n \mathcal{S}$, we define a relative functor $\mathcal{K}_n(X_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n(X_0)$ by sending an object

$$X_1 \xleftarrow{\simeq} Y_1 \longrightarrow K(A, n+2) // \pi_1(X_1)$$

to the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_0 & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & X_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & X_1. \end{array}$$

Note that $Y_0 \rightarrow X_0$ is indeed an object of $\mathcal{K}_n(X_0)$ since we have a map of co-spans

$$(Y_1 \rightarrow X_1 \leftarrow X_0) \Rightarrow (K(A, n+2) // \pi_1 X_1 \rightarrow B\pi_1 X_1 \leftarrow B\pi_1 X_0)$$

Similarly, we define a functor $\mathcal{F} : (\tau_n \mathcal{S})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{RelCat}$ by sending a map $X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ to the relative functor $\mathcal{F}(X_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X_0)$ which takes an object $X'_1 \rightarrow X_1$ to the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'_0 & \longrightarrow & X'_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_1 & \longrightarrow & X_1 \end{array}$$

The functor $\mathcal{K}_n(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ is clearly natural in X .

Proposition 3.11. *The induced map of ∞ -categories*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \int_X \mathcal{K}_n(X) \{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \int_X \mathcal{F}(X) \{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \tau_n \mathcal{S} \{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\} & \end{array}$$

is a map of Cartesian fibrations.

Proof. It is readily verified that we have a map of marked Cartesian fibrations $\int_X \mathcal{K}_n(X) \rightarrow \int_X \mathcal{F}(X)$ so that the proposition follows from the dual of [Hin, Proposition 2.1.4]. $\square$

We will denote by

$$(\mathcal{K}[n], \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{K}[n]}) := \left(\int_X \mathcal{K}_n(X), \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{K}_n} \right)$$

the relative category defined above. An object in $\mathcal{K}[n]$ is an $(n+1)$ -coskeletal reduced space X , together with a span

$$X \xleftarrow{\simeq} Y \longrightarrow K(A, n+2) // G$$

where $G = \pi_1 X$ and A is a G -module. We refer to $\mathcal{K}[n]$ as the category of **n^{th} -level k -invariants**. We are now ready to prove:

Theorem 3.12. *There is an equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$\mathcal{K}[n] \{ \mathcal{W}^{-1} \} \simeq \mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1, \leq n+1} \{ \mathcal{W}^{-1} \}$$

Proof. The forgetful functor

$$\int_X \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1, \leq n+1}$$

admits a weak inverse

$$\mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1, \leq n+1} \rightarrow \int_X \mathcal{F}(X)$$

which is given by sending an object Y to the map $Y[n+1] \rightarrow Y[n]$. It follows that we have an equivalence

$$\int_X \mathcal{F}(X) \{ \mathcal{W}^{-1} \} \simeq \mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1, \leq n+1} \{ \mathcal{W}^{-1} \}.$$

It is thus enough to show that the map of Proposition 3.11 is an equivalence. This may be reduced to checking that it induces an equivalence on fibers. Using [Hin, Proposition 2.1.4], we can identify the map on fibers as the map of Proposition 3.10. The result now follows from the claim that for any $X \in \mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1, \leq n}$ and any $\pi_1 X$ -module A , the map of relative categories $\mathcal{K}_n^X(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^X(A)$ defined above is an equivalence. $\square$

4 Sylow theorems for ∞ -groups

Recall that an ∞ -group is a pointed connected space. These are the higher homotopical analogs of groups. In this section we will study the higher homotopical analogs of **finite** groups and parallel the Sylow theorems.

Definition 4.1.

1. An ∞ -group (i.e. a pointed connected space) $\mathbb{G}$ will be called **π -finite** if it has finitely many non-trivial homotopy groups which are all finite. We denote the relative category of such spaces by $\mathrm{Gp}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{fin}}$.
2. We say that $\mathbb{G} \in \mathrm{Gp}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{fin}}$ is **n -truncated** if $\pi_i(\mathbb{G}) = 0$ for $i > n$.

Definition 4.2. Let p be a prime. We say that $\mathbb{G} \in \mathrm{Gp}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{fin}}$ is a **p - ∞ -group** if all its homotopy groups are p -groups.

Theorem 4.9 is our analog of Sylow theorems. Let us first recall the (ordinary) group theoretic case. Recall that a p -Sylow subgroup of G is a maximal p -subgroup. The Sylow theorems assert the following:

Theorem 4.3 (Sylow). *Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime.*

1. *For every p -group H and a map $H \rightarrow G$, there is a p -Sylow subgroup $P_p \leq G$ and a factorization*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & P_p & \end{array} .$$

2. *The number of p -Sylow subgroups of G is congruent to 1 (mod p).*
3. *All p -Sylow subgroups are conjugate to each other.*

To parallel Theorem 4.3 we first define a notion of a p -Sylow subgroup for ∞ -groups:

Definition 4.4. Let p be a prime. A map $f : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ of π -finite ∞ -groups, is called **p -Sylow map** if for all $n \geq 1$, the map

$$\pi_n(f) : \pi_n(\mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \pi_n(\mathbb{G})$$

is an inclusion of a p -Sylow subgroup.

We also define when two maps of ∞ -groups are conjugate:

Definition 4.5. Let $\mathbb{H} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{G} \xleftarrow{f'} \mathbb{H}'$ be a pair of ∞ -group maps. We will say that f is **conjugate** to f' if there is an equivalence $\varphi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}'$ and an equivalence $\psi : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ such that $[\psi] \in \mathrm{aut}_*^h \mathbb{G}$ is in the image of the canonical map $\pi_0 \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{aut}_*^h \mathbb{G}$.

Henceforth we fix a prime p , a p - ∞ -group $\mathbb{H}$ and a map $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ in $\mathrm{Gp}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{fin}}$. We denote by $\mathrm{Fact}(f)$ the ∞ -groupoid of factorizations of f , given by the pullback of ∞ -categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fact}(f) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}(\Delta^2, \mathrm{Gp}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{fin}} \{W^{-1}\}) & \xrightarrow{(d_1)_*} & \mathrm{Map}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{Gp}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{fin}} \{W^{-1}\}) \end{array}$$

We denote by $\mathbb{P}_f$ the sub- ∞ -groupoid of $\text{Fact}(f)$ supported on factorizations of the form

$$\mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$$

such that $\mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is a p -Sylow map. Note that the Postnikov functors $\mathcal{P}_n$ give us an infinite tower of ∞ -categories,

$$\mathbb{P}_f \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{f[n+1]} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{f[n]} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{f[1]}$$

and that

$$\mathbb{P}_{f[n+1]} \simeq \mathbb{P}_f$$

for $n \gg 0$.

Proposition 4.6. *For $n \geq 1$ the map*

$$\mathbb{P}_{f[n+1]} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{f[n]}$$

is an equivalence of ∞ -groupoids

We will prove that the map in Proposition 4.6 is an equivalence by proving that all its fibers are contractible. Then the proposition is equivalent to the following statement:

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\mathbb{H}[n] \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}[n] \in \mathbb{P}_{f[n]}$. We denote by $\mathbb{S}$ the ∞ -groupoid whose objects are the possible completions in $\text{Gp}_\infty^{\text{fin}}\{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\}$ of the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{G} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{H}[n] & \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_0 \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}[n] \end{array}$$

to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{H}[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}[n] \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is a p -Sylow map. Then $\mathbb{S}$ is contractible.

Proof. We first claim that $\mathbb{S}$ can be identified as the ∞ -groupoid of lifts

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1, \leq n+1}\{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \ell & \downarrow v \\ \Delta^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1, \leq n}\{\mathcal{W}^{-1}\} \times_{\text{Gp}} \text{GpMod} \end{array}$$

where v is induced from the (relative) functor that associates to $\mathbb{G} \in \mathcal{S}_*^{\leq n+1}$ the pair $(\mathbb{G}[n], \pi_n \mathbb{G})$ and the upper-horizontal map is the map $f : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$. The lower-horizontal map is given by the pair of composable maps

$$(\mathbb{H}[n], A_{\mathbb{H}}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{P}_0, A_p) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{G}[n], A_{\mathbb{G}})$$

(here $A_{\mathbb{H}} := \pi_{n+1} \mathbb{H}$, $A_{\mathbb{G}} := \pi_{n+1} \mathbb{G}$ and A_p is the (unique) p -Sylow subgroup of $A_{\mathbb{G}}$).

Let G_p be the p -Sylow subgroup of $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{G})$ given by the image of $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}_0) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{G})$. Using Theorem 3.12 we can rewrite this as the ∞ -groupoid of lifts

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{K}[n] \{W^{-1}\} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \ell & \downarrow \bar{v} \\ \Delta^2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{S}_*^{\geq 1, \leq n} \{W^{-1}\} \times_{\text{Gp}} \text{GpMod} \end{array}$$

where $\bar{v}$ is induced from the relative functor that associates to a triple

$$(X, A, \eta : X \xleftarrow{\sim} Y \longrightarrow K(A, n+2) // \pi_1(X))$$

the pair (X, A) .

By construction, the fiber of $\bar{v}$ over (X, A) is the mapping space

$$\text{Map}_{/B\pi_1 X} (X, K(A, n+2) // \pi_1 X)$$

and it follows that we can further identify $\mathcal{S}$ with the space of maps

$$\mathbb{P}_0 \longrightarrow K(A_p, n+2) // G_p$$

which fit into the following diagram in $\text{Gp}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}} \{W_{\text{Gp}_{\infty}^{\text{fin}}}^{-1}\}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{H}[n] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K(A_{\mathbb{H}}, n+2) // \pi_1(\mathbb{H}) & & \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & B\pi_1(\mathbb{H}) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{P}_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K(A_p, n+2) // G_p & & \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & BG_p & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{G}[n] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & K(A_{\mathbb{G}}, n+2) // G & & \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & BG & & \end{array}$$

(all maps are over BG). Note that we have a homotopy Cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(A_p, n+2)//G_p & \longrightarrow & BG_p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(A_{\mathbb{G}}, n+2)//G & \longrightarrow & BG \end{array}$$

Thus $\mathbf{S}$ is just the space of dashed lifts in the ∞ -category of spaces over BG_p :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}[n] & \longrightarrow & K(A_p, n+2)//G_p \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \ell & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}_0 & \longrightarrow & K(A_{\mathbb{G}}, n+2)//G_p \end{array}$$

As a G_p -module, $A_{\mathbb{G}}$ factors naturally as a sum

$$A_{\mathbb{G}} = A_p \oplus A^{(p)}$$

where $A^{(p)}$ is the prime-to- p part. Now, in the ∞ -category of spaces over BG_p we have

$$K(A_{\mathbb{G}}, n+2)//G_p \simeq K(A_p, n+2)//G_p \times K(A^{(p)}, n+2)//G_p$$

so that $\mathbf{S}$ can be identified as the space of dashed lifts in the ∞ -category $\mathcal{S}_{/BG_p} \left\{ \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{S}_{/BG_p}}^{-1} \right\}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}[n] & \longrightarrow & BG_p \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \ell & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}_0 & \longrightarrow & K(A^{(p)}, n+2)//G_p \end{array}$$

This space of lifts is in turn just the homotopy fiber of the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_{/BG_p}(\mathbb{P}_0, BG_p) & & \\ \downarrow & & (*) \\ \mathrm{Map}_{/BG_p}(\mathbb{P}_0, K(A^{(p)}, n+2)//G_p) \times_{\mathrm{Map}_{/BG_p}(\mathbb{H}[n], K(A^{(p)}, n+2)//G_p)} \mathrm{Map}_{/BG_p}(\mathbb{H}[n], BG_p) & & \end{array}$$

We will show that all spaces above are contractible. Firstly, the spaces $\mathrm{Map}_{/BG_p}(\mathbb{H}[n], BG_p)$ and $\mathrm{Map}_{/BG_p}(\mathbb{P}_0, BG_p)$ are contractible by definition. Secondly, we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i(\mathrm{Map}_{/BG_p}(\mathbb{H}[n], K(A^{(p)}, n+2)//G_p)) &= \tilde{H}^{n+2-i}(\mathbb{H}[n], A^{(p)}) \\ \pi_i(\mathrm{Map}_{/BG_p}(\mathbb{P}_0, K(A^{(p)}, n+2)//G_p)) &= \tilde{H}^{n+2-i}(\mathbb{P}_0, A^{(p)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{P}_0$ and $\mathbb{H}[n]$ are p -spaces, and $A^{(p)}$ is prime-to- p , these cohomology groups vanish by a Serre class argument. It follows that the map $(*)$ is a map between contractible spaces and in particular has a contractible homotopy fiber $\mathbb{S}_\infty$. $\square$

Notation 4.8. For a π -finite ∞ -group $\mathbb{G}$, we denote by $\mathbb{P}_p$ the (homotopy discrete) ∞ -groupoid of p -Sylow maps $\mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$.

We are now at state to prove the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a π -finite ∞ -group and let p be a prime.*

1. *The ∞ -category $\mathbb{P}_p$ of p -Sylow maps $\mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is equivalent to the **set** of p -Sylow subgroups of $\pi_1(\mathbb{G})$. In particular, $\mathbb{P}_p \neq \emptyset$ and $|\pi_0 \mathbb{P}_p| \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.*
2. *For every π -finite p - ∞ -group $\mathbb{H}$, any map $\mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ factors as*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbb{G} \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & \mathbb{P} & \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is a p -Sylow map.

3. *Every two p -Sylow maps are conjugate.*

Proof. From Proposition 4.7 we conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}_f \simeq \mathbb{P}_{f[1]}.$$

Now $\mathbb{P}_{f[1]}$ is the ∞ -groupoid of factorizations

$$\mathbb{H}[1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}[1].$$

Since those are all 1-types the corresponding ∞ -category is in fact discrete and is equivalent to the **set** of p -Sylow subgroups of $\pi_1(\mathbb{G})$ that contain the image of

$$\pi_1(f) : \pi_1(\mathbb{H}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{G}).$$

For $\mathbb{H} = *$ we get that the ∞ -groupoid of p -Sylow maps $\mathbb{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is discrete and is equivalent to the **set** of p -Sylow subgroups of $\pi_1(\mathbb{G})$. This proves (1) and (2). To prove (3), fix a p -Sylow subgroup $P_p \hookrightarrow G$. Since all p -Sylow subgroups in $\pi_1(\mathbb{G})$ are conjugate, every p -Sylow map is conjugate to $\mathbb{P}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is conjugate to a p -Sylow map $\overline{\mathbb{P}}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}$ such that the image of $\pi_1 \overline{\mathbb{P}}_p \longrightarrow \pi_1 \mathbb{G}$ is P_p . But now, all such p -Sylow maps are equivalent as objects of $\mathbb{P}_f$ since

$$\mathbb{P}_f \simeq \mathbb{P}_{f[1]}.$$

$\square$

We would like to point out that the analogy between Theorem 4.9 and the classical Sylow theorems is not complete. Recall that an ordinary finite group G admits a unique p -Sylow subgroup if and only if the p -Sylow subgroup is normal. In the context of ∞ -groups, there is a notion of normality which was introduced in [FS] and developed in [Pra] for loop spaces. Let us spell-out the corresponding notion for ∞ -groups.

Definition 4.10. A map of ∞ -groups $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is called **normal** if there is an ∞ -group $\mathbb{Q}$ and a map $\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is a homotopy fiber sequence. The data of the map $\pi : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ and the (pointed) null-homotopy $\pi \circ f \simeq *$ is called a **normal structure**. We will also denote $\mathbb{Q} := \mathbb{G} // \mathbb{N}$ when the normal structure is clear from the context.

Example 4.11. In the classical case, having a unique p -Sylow subgroup is equivalent to the p -Sylow subgroup being normal. Note that the analogous “higher statement” is **not true**. Namely there exists a π -finite ∞ -group $\mathbb{G}$ with a contractible ∞ -groupoid of p -Sylow maps for which the essentially unique p -Sylow map $\mathbb{P}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is not homotopy normal. For example, let A be the unique $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -module with 3-elements and a non-trivial action. Let

$$X = K(A, 2) // (\mathbb{Z}/2).$$

we have $\pi_1(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ which is abelian. Thus there exist a unique 2-sylow map

$$B(\mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow K(A, 2) // (\mathbb{Z}/2).$$

However this map is not homotopy normal. Indeed if it was we could have completed the diagram by adding

$$B(\mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow K(A, 2) // (\mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}/3, 2).$$

This cannot happen by the functoriality of homotopy groups as π_1 -modules.

5 Applications

In this section we will illustrate how Theorem 4.9 can be applied. Our first application will be to establish an analog of the Burnside’s fixed point lemma. Our second application will be a characterization of π -finite nilpotent spaces (viewed as ∞ -groups) in terms of Sylow maps into them.

5.1 Burnside’s fixed point lemma for p - ∞ -groups

Let us first recall a well-known corollary of Burnside’s lemma:

Proposition 5.1. *Let G be a p -group and X a finite G -set. If the order of X is prime-to- p then the fixed point set X^G is non-empty.*

The parallel statement is now:

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a π -finite p - ∞ -group. Let X be a π -finite space with an action of the Kan loop group $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{G})$ of $\mathbb{G}$. If all homotopy groups of X are of prime-to- p order, then the space of homotopy fixed points $X^{h\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{G})}$ is non-empty.*

Proof. If X is non-connected, Proposition 5.1 implies that there is a connected component of X which is fixed by $\pi_0(\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{G}))$ and thus by $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{G})$. Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that X is connected. We choose a point in X so that we have a homotopy fiber sequence of pointed spaces: $X \rightarrow X//\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{G}) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{G}$. Recall that a homotopy fixed point can be equivalently described as a homotopy section $s : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow X//\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{G})$ of γ . The long exact sequence takes the form

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_n(X) \rightarrow \pi_n(X//\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{G})) \xrightarrow{c_n = \pi_n(\gamma)} \pi_n(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(X) \rightarrow \dots$$

which implies that $\ker(c_n)$ and $\operatorname{coker}(c_n)$ are of prime-to- p order. Hence, c_n maps every p -Sylow subgroup of $\pi_n(X//\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{G}))$ isomorphically onto a p -Sylow subgroup of $\pi_n(\mathbb{G})$. If we now choose a p -Sylow map $\mathbb{P}_p \rightarrow X//\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{G})$ we see that the composite map $\mathbb{P}_p \rightarrow X//\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is a weak equivalence by the assumption on $\mathbb{G}$. We thus have a homotopy section in the form $\mathbb{G} \simeq \mathbb{P}_p \rightarrow X//\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{G})$. $\square$

5.2 π -finite nilpotent ∞ -groups

We finish this section with a result on nilpotency. Recall [BK, II.4] that a pointed connected space X is **nilpotent** if $\pi_1 X$ is nilpotent and acts nilpotently on $\pi_n(X)$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Definition 5.3. An ∞ -group $\mathbb{G}$ is called **nilpotent** if its underlying space is nilpotent.

Our goal is to prove a parallel to the following classical theorem (see e.g. [Rot, Theorem 5.39])

Theorem 5.4. *Let G be a finite group. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. G is nilpotent.
2. G is isomorphic to the product of its Sylow subgroups.
3. All Sylow subgroups of G are normal.

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a nilpotent ∞ -group. Since $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{G})$ is a nilpotent group there is a unique p -Sylow subgroup $P_p \leq G$ for every prime p with $p|G$. It follows that for every prime p , the relative category $\mathbb{P}_p$ of p -Sylow maps $\mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ and equivalences is weakly contractible. In other words, for each prime p , there is an essentially unique p -Sylow map $\mathbb{P}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a π -finite ∞ -group with $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{G})$ and let $\{\mathbb{P}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\}_{p|G}$ be a collection of Sylow maps into $\mathbb{G}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $\mathbb{G}$ is nilpotent.
2. $\mathbb{G} \simeq \prod_{p|G} \mathbb{P}_p$.
3. For every prime $p|G$, the map $\mathbb{P}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is normal.

Before going to the proof of Theorem 5.5, let us recall an algebraic fact:

Lemma 5.6. *Let H be a p -group and M a finite H -module of a p -power order. Then M is nilpotent as a H -module.*

Proof of Theorem 5.5. 1. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) : Clear.

2. (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) : In that case, $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}_p)$ is normal in $\pi_1(\mathbb{G})$ by the LES for $\mathbb{P}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{P}_p$ so that all the Sylow subgroups of $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{G})$ are normal and $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{G}) \cong \prod_{p|G} \pi_1(\mathbb{P}_p)$ is thus nilpotent. Note that $\pi_n(\mathbb{G}) \cong \prod_{p|G} \pi_n(\mathbb{P}_p)$

The LES for $\mathbb{P}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{P}_p$ also implies that $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}_p)$ must act trivially on the prime-to- p part of each $\pi_n(\mathbb{G})$. Using Lemma 5.6 with $H = \pi_1(\mathbb{P}_p)$ we deduce that $\mathbb{G}$ is nilpotent.

3. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) : Let n be the homotopical dimension of $\mathbb{G}$; we argue by induction on n . If $n = 1$, the decomposition $G = \pi_1(\mathbb{G}) \cong \prod_{p|G} \pi_1(\mathbb{P}_p)$ implies a decomposition $\mathbb{G} \simeq \prod_{p|G} \mathbb{P}_p$. Suppose $\mathbb{G}$ is of homotopical dimension $n + 1$. We denote $A := \pi_{n+1}(\mathbb{G})$, $A_p := \pi_{n+1}(\mathbb{P}_p)$ and $G_p := \pi_1(\mathbb{P}_p)$. The canonical homotopy Cartesian square of 2 takes the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B\pi_1(\mathbb{G}) \\ \downarrow \simeq \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{G}[n] & \xrightarrow{k} & K(A, n+2) // \pi_1(\mathbb{G}). \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Since $\mathbb{G}$ is nilpotent, the terms in the right-upper and right-lower part of the diagram decompose into a product and the induction assumption implies that the left-lower term decomposes as well. The homotopy Cartesian square of 3 thus takes the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod_{p|G} BG_p \\ \downarrow \simeq \lrcorner & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{p|G} P_n(\mathbb{P}_p) & \xrightarrow{k} & \prod_{p|G} K(A_p, n+2) // G_p. \end{array}$$

The right-vertical map clearly decomposes to the product of the corresponding maps. The lower-horizontal map decomposes as well, by a Serre class argument. It follows that $\mathbb{G} \simeq \prod_{p|G} \mathbb{P}_p$. $\square$

6 References

- [BK] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Vol. 304. Springer Science & Business Media (1987).
- [BV] M. Boardman and R. Vogt, *Homotopy Invariant Algebraic Structures on Topological Spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 347 (1973).
- [DK80] , W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *Simplicial localizations of categories*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 17(3), pp. 267–284 (1980).
- [DK84] W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *An obstruction theory for diagrams of simplicial sets*, *Indagationes Mathematicae (Proceedings)*, Vol. 87, No. 2. North-Holland (1984).
- [FS] E. D. Farjoun and Y. Segev, *Crossed Modules as Homotopy Normal Maps*, *Topology and its Applications*, 157, 359–368 (2010).
- [Hin] V. Hinich *Dwyer-Kan localization revisited*, *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* 18 (2016).
- [Joy] A. Joyal, *The theory of quasi-categories and its applications*, unpublished manuscript (2008).
- [Lod] J. L. Loday, *Spaces with Finitely Many Non-Trivial Homotopy Groups*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 24, 179202 (1982).
- [Lur09] J. Lurie, *Higher Topos Theory*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 170, Princeton University Press (2009).
- [Low] Z. L. Low, *Cocycles in categories of fibrant objects*, arXiv preprint, arXiv:1502.03925 (2015).
- [Pra] M. Prezma[Prasma], *Homotopy normal maps*, *Algebraic and Geometric Topology* 12, no. 2, 1211–1238 (2012).
- [Rot] J. Rotman, *An introduction to the theory of groups*, *Fourth edition*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 148. Springer-Verlag, New York, xvi+513 pp. (1995)e
- [St] J. Stasheff, *H-Spaces From a Homotopy Point of View*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 161, Springer-Verlag (1970).
- [Wh] J. H. C. Whitehead, *Combinatorial Homotopy II*, *American Mathematical Society, Bulletin*, 55, 453–496 (1949).

T. M. Schlank: THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM,
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JERUSALEM, ISRAEL.
E-mail address: tomer.schlank@gmail.com

M. Prasma: RADBOUD UNIVERSITEIT NIJMEGEN,
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NIJMEGEN, THE NETHERLANDS.
E-mail address: mtnprsm@gmail.com